

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,237	(	07/14/2003	Jorgen K. Smedegaard	6520.200-US	5828
23650	7590	06/27/2006		EXAMINER	
NOVO NORDISK, INC.				AHMED, AAMER S	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON, NJ 08540				3763	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	· Applicant(s)	
	10/619,237	SMEDEGAARD, JORGEN K.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aamer S. Ahmed	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	<u> April 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 24-29</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, ,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 55 5.5.5. 3	1.10(a) (a) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		oplication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	·	<del></del>	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	;
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,237

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 24-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al U.S. Patent Number 5,848,991 in view of Berner et al U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0104052.

Gross et al discloses a method for treatment of a patient suffering from a condition, comprising the steps of providing a delivery device (2) adapted to deliver an amount of a drug beneficial for the treatment of the condition, establishing at a given time a fluid communication between the delivery device and the body of the patient, and discontinuing the fluid communication between the delivery device and the body of the patient, and wherein the fluid communication is provided by arranging the delivery device against a skin surface of the patient (see abstract) and the fluid communication is disconnected by removing the infusion device from the patient, and wherein the fluid communication is established during sleep, the drug being

Application/Control Number: 10/619,237

Art Unit: 3763

infused substantially corresponding to a period of sleep; and wherein the drug is insulincontaining (col. 4 line 55 and col. 6 line 56); and including a reservoir (10) having an outlet (24), the reservoir (10) adapted to contain an amount of a liquid drug, expelling means (14), actuating means (30), wherein the expelling means upon actuation performs a cycle of expelling a predetermined amount of drug.

Gross fails to explicitly disclose that the drug is delivered during a period of approximately 7-9 hours and discontinued after 7-9 hours.

Berner et al discloses a similar method in which a drug is delivered during a period of approximately 7-9 hours and discontinued after 7-9 hours (paragraph 0126).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by the applicant to modify the method of Gross et al by incorporating the drug administering time of approximately 7-9 hours as taught by Berner et at in order to avoid repetitive dosages.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,237

Art Unit: 3763

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Ahmed

Art Unit: 3763/

Prinag Patent \$

DU 37 63